

Lithuania

Introduction

WORLD JUSTICE PROJECT EUROVOICES (WJP EUROVOICES) measures people's perceptions of and experiences with the rule of law, justice, and governance in 110 subnational regions across all 27 EU Member States.

WJP EUROVOICES draws upon two original data sources:

EXPERT SCORECARD

Reflecting more than 8,000 responses from local and independent legal experts in the EU.

Scores range from 0 to 1, where 1 signifies the highest possible score and 0 signifies the lowest possible score.1

PEOPLE'S VOICES

Based on regionally representative surveys of over 64,000 respondents from the general population.

Data is presented at the question level, shown in percentages, and can be further disaggregated by income, gender, age, and degree of urbanization.

Noting the diversity of institutional designs across EU Member States, this project's survey questions focus on the individuals' experiences related to justice, governance, and the rule of law. These experiences and their ultimate outcomes result from their interactions with a complex network of institutions at local, national, and supranational level.

In this sense, the questionnaires minimized references to government institutions, focusing instead on the perceptions and experiences of people in the city, town, or village where they live. Regional information was produced following the framework of territorial divisions of the Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics (NUTS) system.

WJP EUROVOICES builds upon the well-established and tested methodology of the WJP Rule of Law Index, but uses an expanded conceptual framework adapted to reflect the institutional architecture in the EU. In addition, it presents data from the public and legal experts separately (thus, the WJP Rule of Law Index and WJP EUROVOICES are not comparable).

WJP EUROVOICES features dozens of indicators organized into three thematic areas: "Democracy and Fundamental Rights", "Justice and Safety", and "Transparency and Corruption". This data, which can be analyzed both at the country² and local level, provides actionable insights to guide policymaking, strengthen institutions, and foster inclusive, and sustainable development across the EU.

WJP EUROVOICES reports with key findings, interactive dashboards, and full datasets, published in November 2024, are available at: https://eurovoices.worldjusticeproject.org/

¹ To ensure data reliability, expert scores for certain regions are excluded from the Expert Scorecard due to insufficient responses for robust calculations.

² For all indicators, country-level data, when presented, is calculated using weighted averages of region-level scores based on population size

Regions analyzed and completed surveys per EU country

	щ	COMPLETED	Household surveys			
COUNTRY	# OF REGIONS ANALYZED	EXPERT SURVEYS	METHODOLOGY	POLLING PARTNER	COMPLETED SURVEYS	DATA COLLECTION PERIOD
Austria	3	122	Online	Bilendi & Respondi	2,310	02/27-04/09/24
Belgium	3	109	Online	Bilendi & Respondi	1,521	03/01-04/12/24
Bulgaria	2	420	Face-to-face	Alpha Research Ltd.	1,500	01/19-02/24/24
Croatia	4	198	Face-to-face	ACT	2,000	02/15-03/28/24
Cyprus	1	99	Face-to-face	Pulse Market Research	1,010	11/10/23 - 03/20/24
Czechia	4*	200	Online	Ipsos	2,024	02/26-04/10/24
Denmark	5	148	Online	Bilendi & Respondi	2,522	03/01-04/23/24
Estonia	1	56	Online	Ipsos	1,044	02/26-04/11/24
Finland	4*	115	Online	Ipsos	2,218	02/26-04/14/24
France	13*	489	Online	Ipsos	6,195	02/26-04/16/24
Germany	16	1,089	Online	Bilendi & Respondi	8,055	03/01-04/24/24
Greece	4	232	Face-to-face	D3 Systems, Inc.	2,009	01/08-04/04/24
Hungary	3	306	Online	Talk Online	1,750	02/07-02/29/24
Ireland	3	101	Online	RED C Research	1,288	02/01-04/30/24
Italy	5	1,368	Online	Bilendi & Respondi	3,844	03/01-04/01/24
Latvia	1	68	Face-to-face	ACT	1,003	03/01-03/31/24
Lithuania	2	90	Face-to-face	ACT	1,500	03/01-04/21/24
Luxembourg	1	38	Online	ILRES	605	02/02-02/23/24
Malta	1	42	Face-to-face	Misco International	500	01/02-03/13/24
Netherlands	4	148	Online	Bilendi & Respondi	3,050	03/01-04/17/24
Poland	7	434	Face-to-face	ACT	5,252	03/01-05/10/24
Portugal	3	277	Face-to-face	Intercampus	1,562	01/23-04/02/24
Romania	4	475	Face-to-face	ACT	3,018	03/09-05/12/24
Slovakia	4	264	Online	Talk Online	2,200	02/07-02/29/24
Slovenia	2	99	Online	lpsos	1,019	02/26-04/10/24
Spain	7	858	Online	Ipsos	3,536	02/26-04/17/24
Sweden	3	197	Online	lpsos	1,554	02/26-04/11/24
Total	110	8,042			64,089	

Lithuania



Region	Code
Capital Region	LT01
Central/Western	LT02

Democracy & Fundamental Rights

CHECKS ON GOVERNMENT POWERS*

	EU	Lithuania
Legislative oversight	0.59	0.66
Judicial independence	0.67	0.65
Independent oversight	0.57	0.58
Independent prosecution	0.60	0.68
Free, fair, and secure elections	0.75	0.76
Non-governmental checks	0.70	0.68

^{*}Only at national level

CIVIC PARTICIPATION

Civic participation		EU 0.70	Lithuania 0.68
LT01 0.66	LT02 0.69		

GOVERNMENT RESPECT FOR CHECKS ON POWER*

Government respect for	EU	Lithuania
The constitution and political opponents	0.71	0.77
Judicial independence	0.68	0.70
Independent oversight	0.63	0.63
Independent prosecution	0.62	0.70
The electoral system	0.76	0.75
Civil liberties	0.69	0.71

^{*}Only at national level

FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

Prohibition of torture and inhuman treatment	EU
LT01 LT02 0.85 0.78	
Freedom of thought, conscience, and religion LT01 LT02 0.75 0.75	EU Lithuania 0.80 0.75
Freedom of opinion and expression LT01 LT02 0.68 0.73	EU Lithuania 0.70 0.72

		EU 0.74	Lithuania 0.76
Freedo associa LT01 0.70	LT02	EU 0.75	Lithuania 0.68
Right t LT01 0.71	o property LT02 0.74	EU 0.66	Lithuania 0.73

Right to asylum	EU Lithuania 0.69 0.66	Equality before the law	EU
LT01 LT02 0.69 0.65		LT01 LT02 0.76 0.76	
Workers' rights	EU Lithuania	Right to vote and to stand	EU Lithuania
LT01 LT02 0.69 0.69	0.67 0.69	as a candidate at elections LT01 LT02 0.76 0.81	0.79 0.80
Right of access to documents	EU Lithuania 0.67 0.69	Right to petition	EU Lithuania 0.80 0.69
LT01 LT02 0.70 0.68		LT01 LT02 0.73 0.67	
Right of movement and of residence	EU Lithuania 0.85 0.83	Due process of law	EU Lithuania 0.63 0.66
LT01 LT02 0.85 0.82		LT01 LT02 0.64 0.66	

Freedom to vote without harassment or pressure

Percentage of respondents who agree that people can vote freely without feeling harassed or pressured.

EU Lithuania 77% 89% LT01 LT02 89% 89%

Freedom of the media to criticize government policies

Percentage of respondents who agree that the media can freely express opinions against government officials, policies, and actions without fear of retaliation.

Lithuania 55% 69% LT01 LT02 74% 67%

Freedom of civil society organizations to criticize government policies

Percentage of respondents who agree that civil society organizations can freely express opinions against government policies and actions without fear of retaliation.

Lithuania 55% 58% LT01 LT02 55% 54%

Political parties' freedom to express opposition to government policies

Percentage of respondents who agree that political parties can freely express opinions against government policies and actions without fear of retaliation.

Lithuania 64% 62% LT01 LT02 59% 63%

Freedom to express opinions against the government

Percentage of respondents who agree that people can freely express opinions against the government.

EU Lithuania 71% 65% LT01 LT02 66% 64%

Use of misinformation to shape public opinion

Percentage of respondents who agree that top government officials of the national government use misinformation to shape public opinion in their favor.

EU Lithuania 62% 60% LT02 LT01 58% 61%

Government collaboration with civil society

Percentage of respondents who agree that the government collaborates with civil society organizations in designing public policy.

huania %

LT01 LT02 47% 50%

Experiences of discrimination

Percentage of respondents who reported experiencing discrimination or harassment during the past 12 months.

EU 36%	Lithuania 25%

LT01 LT02 32% 22%

> Source: World Justice Project EUROVOICES 2024. Note: Some indicators are only shown at the national level.

Justice & Safety

CIVIL JUSTICE

Legal capability	EU 0.58	Lithuania 0.64	LT01 LT02 0.64 0.65
Access to legal aid and representation in civil disputes	EU 0.64	Lithuania 0.71	LT01 LT02 0.66 0.74
Accessible, appropriate, and timely dispute resolution	EU 0.49	Lithuania 0.60	LT01 LT02 0.63 0.58
Impartial and independent dispute resolution	EU 0.70	Lithuania 0.70	LT01 LT02 0.75 0.68
Effective and outcome- oriented dispute resolution	EU 0.57	Lithuania 0.63	LT01 LT02 0.66 0.62
Alternative dispute resolution mechanisms	EU 0.58	Lithuania 0.63	LT01 LT02 0.66 0.61

CRIMINAL JUSTICE

Effective and impartial criminal investigation

EU	Lithuania
0.60	0.69

LT01 LT02 0.64 0.71

Effective and impartial prosecution and pre-trial proceedings

EU		Lithuania
0.60		0.62
IT01	IT02	

0.62 0.62

Effective and impartial criminal adjudication

EU Lithuania 0.63 0.68

LT01 LT02 0.67 0.69

Alternative criminal justice mechanisms

EU Lithuania 0.52 0.56

LT01 LT02 0.48 0.60

Victims' rights

EU Lithuania 0.52 0.62

LT01 LT02 0.60 0.62

Due process of law

EU Lithuania 0.63 0.66

LT01 LT02 0.64 0.66

Rights of persons deprived of liberty

Lithuania 0.66 0.72

LT01 LT02 0.68 0.74

Awareness of legal rights

Percentage of respondents who agree that people in their country are aware of their rights when they face a legal problem.

EU Lithuania 36% 32%

LT01 LT02 36% 30%

Access to affordable legal assistance and representation

Percentage of respondents who agree that people in their country have access to affordable legal assistance and representation when they face a legal problem.

Lithuania 40% 58%

LT01 LT02 60% 58%

Affordability of state dispute resolution mechanisms

Percentage of respondents who agree that people in their country can easily meet the costs of turning to a state dispute resolution mechanism (courts, small claims courts, administrative agencies, etc.) when they face a legal problem.

Lithuania 24% 10%

LT01 LT02 8% 11%

Equality and fair treatment in the civil justice system

Percentage of respondents who agree that all parties are treated equally and fairly within the civil justice system of their country.

EU Lithuania 37% 32%

LT02 LT01 30% 33%

Effectiveness of criminal prosecution

Percentage of respondents who agree that the criminal justice system is effective in bringing people who commit crimes to justice.

Lithuania 51% 47%

LT02 LT01 50% 52%

Respect for the rights of victims

Percentage of respondents who agree that the criminal justice system respects the rights of victims.

EU Lithuania 51% 48%

LT02 LT01 47% 48%

Respect for suspects' basic rights

Percentage of respondents who agree that the basic rights of suspects are respected by the police.

EU	Lithuania
66%	66%

LT01 LT02 66% 66%

Presumption of innocence

Percentage of respondents who agree that the criminal justice system treats those accused of a crime as 'innocent until proven guilty'.

EU 54%		Lithuania 59%
LT01	LT02	
56%	60%	

Respect for the rights of persons deprived of liberty

Percentage of respondents who agree that the criminal justice system guarantees the safety and human rights of people deprived of their liberty.

	EU 54%		Lithuania 42%
	LT01	LT02	
4	41%	42%	

where people live Percentage of respondents who agree the justice system functions the same regardless of where they live.

Equal functioning of the justice system regardless of

EU Lithuania 48% 50% LT01 LT02 54% 49%

Perceptions of safety when walking in the neighborhood

Percentage of respondents who feel safe walking in their neighborhood at night.

EU 71%		Lithuania 68%
LT01	LT02	
67%	69%	

Police and community safety

Percentage of respondents who agree that the police resolve safety problems in their communities and treat all people with kindness and respect.

	EU 40%		Lithuania 50%
I	LT01	LT02	
4	45%	52%	

Source: World Justice Project EUROVOICES 2024.

Transparency & Corruption

CONTROL OF CORRUPTION

Absence of bribery	EU 0.74	Lithuania 0.68	LT01 LT02 0.73 0.66
Absence of corrupt procurement practices Only at national level	EU 0.54	Lithuania 0.49	
Absence of embezzlement	EU 0.60	Lithuania 0.50	LT01 LT02 0.61 0.45
Absence of favoritism	EU 0.53	Lithuania 0.47	LT01 LT02 0.63 0.40
Absence of corrupt electoral practices	EU 0.57	Lithuania 0.57	LT01 LT02 0.66 0.53

TRANSPARENCY AND ACCESS TO INFORMATION

Transparency and access	EU	Lithuania	LT01	LT02
to information	0.67	0.69	0.70	0.68

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS, REGULATORY ENFORCEMENT, AND RIGHT TO PROPERTY

Simple, predictable, and timely administrative proceedings	EU 0.61	Lithuania 0.65	LT01 LT02 0.68 0.63
Right to property	EU 0.66	Lithuania 0.73	LT01 LT02 0.71 0.74
Regulatory enforcement	EU 0.66	Lithuania 0.73	LT01 LT02 0.72 0.74

Perception of corruption in Parliament/Congress

Percentage of respondents who believe that most or all members of Parliament/Congress are involved in corrupt practices.

EU 42%		Lithuania 54%
LT01	LT02	
56%	53%	

Perception of corruption in the national government

Percentage of respondents who believe that most or all officials working in the national government are involved in corrupt practices.

EU 33%		Lithuania 35%
LT01	LT02	
270/	2/10/	

Perception of corruption in the local government

Percentage of respondents who believe that most or all officials working in the local government are involved in corrupt practices.

EU 25%		Lithuania 28%
LT01	LT02	
34%	26%	

Perception of corruption of judges and magistrates

Percentage of respondents who believe that most or all judges and magistrates are involved in corrupt practices.

EU 23%		Lithuania 36%
LT01 49%	LT02 31%	

Perception of corruption of criminal investigation prosecutors

Percentage of respondents who believe that most or all prosecutors in charge of criminal investigations are involved in corrupt practices.

EU 21%		Lithuania 33%
LT01	LT02	
42%	30%	

Perception of corruption of public defense attorneys

Percentage of respondents who believe that most or all public defense attorneys are involved in corrupt practices.

EU 20%		Lithuania 19%
LT01	LT02	
17%	20%	

Perception of corruption of police officers

Percentage of respondents who believe that most or all police officers are involved in corrupt practices.

EU 17%		Lithuania 16%
LTO1	ITO2	

LT01 LT02 25% 12%

Perception of corruption of land registry officers

Percentage of respondents who believe that most or all land registry officers are involved in corrupt practices.

EU 18%	Lithuania 35%
LT01 47%	

Perception of corruption of car registration or driver license agency officers

Percentage of respondents who believe that most or all car registration or driver license agency officers are involved in corrupt practices.

	EU 16%		Lithuania 21%
	LT01	LT02	
-	28%	18%	

Perception of corruption in political parties

Percentage of respondents who believe that most or all political parties are involved in corrupt practices.

EU 53%		Lithuania 56%
LT01 57%	LT02 56%	

Perception of corruption in EU's institutions and agencies

Percentage of respondents who believe that most or all officials working in the EU's institutions and agencies are involved in corrupt practices.

EU 29%		Lithuania 26%
LT01	LT02	
30%	24%	

Perception that citizens can make a difference in the fight against corruption

Percentage of respondents who believe citizens can make a difference in the fight against corruption.

EU 58%		Lithuania 56%
LT01	LT02	
16%	60%	

Effectiveness of measures against corruption

Percentage of respondents who agree that the national government's measures to combat corruption are effective.

EU 24%		Lithuania 22%
LT01	LT02	
15%	24%	

Access to information on people's rights

Percentage of respondents who agree that local authorities provide people with information about their rights.

EU 51%		Lithuania 48%
LT01	LT02	
100/	170/	

Access to information in simple formats

Percentage of respondents who agree that local authorities provide information for people in a simple, easy-to-read way.

EU 47%		Lithuania 38%
LT01	LT02	
36%	38%	

Source: World Justice Project EUROVOICES 2024. Note: Some indicators are only shown at the national level.